




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶: A61N 1/36	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/39795 (43) International Publication Date: 30 October 1997 (30.10.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/05118 (22) International Filing Date: 28 March 1997 (28.03.97) (30) Priority Data: 08/637,440 25 April 1996 (25.04.96) US (71) Applicant: MEDTRONIC, INC. [US/US]; 7000 Central Avenue Northeast, Minneapolis, MN 55432 (US). (72) Inventor: RISE, Mark, T.; 7745 Aetna Avenue Northeast, Monticello, MN 55362 (US). (74) Agents: KINGHORN, Curtis, D. et al.; Medtronic, Inc., 7000 Central Avenue Northeast, MS301, Minneapolis, MN 55432 (US).		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>

(54) Title: APPARATUS FOR TREATING NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS**(57) Abstract**

Techniques for stimulating the brain (B) to reduce the effects of neurodegenerative disorders by means of an implantable signal generator (10) and electrode (115). A sensor (28, 30) is used to detect the symptoms resulting from the disorder. A microprocessor algorithm analyzes the output from the sensor in order to regulate the stimulation delivered to the brain.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

APPARATUS FOR TREATING NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**Field of the Invention**

5 This invention relates to brain stimulation techniques, and more particularly relates to such techniques for treating neurodegenerative disorders.

Description of Related Art

10 Neuroscientists have recognized and continue to explore excitotoxicity, a phenomenon referring to excessive excitation of nerve cells leading to degeneration of the nervous system. This phenomena has been used to explain cell loss after stroke or some other hypoxic event. The research has focused on nerve cells that have glutamate neurotransmitter receptors especially susceptible to the sustained insult. Hyperexcitation of these nerve cells is fundamental to the mechanism (Rothman, S.M., Olney, J.W. (1987) *Trends Neurosci.* 10, 299-302). Researchers have also used
15 excitotoxicity to explain the observed cell loss in the CA1 region of the Horn of Ammon in the dentate gyrus of hippocampus in patients and animal subjects that have suffered from seizure activity. Seizures can be viewed as a form of abnormal over excitation of the nerve cells in this region.

20 Typically, neuroscientists have focused on nerve cells that use the transmitter substance glutamate to communicate with target nerve cells; however, other excitatory amino acids (EAA) are included. When nerve cells are abnormally active, experiencing a lot of action potentials, they are believed to release excessive amounts of glutamate or other EAA at their synaptic terminals. The presence of excessive amounts of glutamate leads to toxic effects on the secondary nerve cells targeted by
25 the hyperactive ones. These toxic effects are believed to be mediated by an accumulation of calcium.

30 Benabid et al. (*The Lancet*, Vol 337:Feb 16, 1991, pp 403-406) has shown that stimulation of the Vim nucleus of the Thalamus will block tremor. In this instance, stimulation at frequencies around 100 to 185 pulses per second accomplishes the same physiological response as a lesion of this region. Thus, it appears that stimulation

inhibits the output of these cells. Benabid's research team has extended this work to stimulation of the subthalamus ("Vim and STN Stimulation in Parkinson's disease", *Movement Disorders, Vol. 9, Supplement 1* (1994); "Effect on Parkinsonian signs and symptoms of bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation", *The Lancet*, Vol 345, January 14, 1995.

Parkinson's disease is the result of degeneration of the substantia nigra pars compacta. The cells of subthalamus have been shown to use glutamate as the neurotransmitter effecting communication with their target cells of the basal ganglia. The state of hyperexcitation that exists in Parkinson's disease will cause an excessive release of glutamate. This, in theory, will lead to further degeneration via the mechanism described above.

Alim Benabid has proposed a method of arresting degeneration of the substantia nigra by high frequency electrical pulsing of the subthalamic nucleus to block stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus, thereby inhibiting excessive release of glutamate at the terminal ends of the axons projecting from the subthalamic nucleus to the substantia nigra.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A preferred form of the invention can treat a neurodegenerative disorder, such as Parkinson's disease, by means of an implantable signal generator and an implantable electrode having a proximal end coupled to the signal generator and having a stimulation portion for therapeutically stimulating the brain. The electrode is implanted in the brain so that the stimulation portion lies adjacent to a predetermined site in the basal ganglia or thalamus of the brain. The signal generator is operated to pulse the electrode at a predetermined rate and amplitude. By using the foregoing method, the effects of the neurodegenerative disorders are reduced. According to one embodiment of the invention, the stimulation can increase excitement of the thalamus or decrease inhibition of the thalamus.

Another form of the invention uses a sensor in combination with the signal generator and the stimulating electrode to treat a neurodegenerative disorder. In this form of the invention, the sensor generates a sensor signal relating to the excitement

of the subthalamus region of the brain. Control means responsive to the sensor signal regulate the signal generator so that the stimulation is increased in response to an increase in the excitation and is decreased in response to a decrease in the excitation.

By using the foregoing techniques, as soon as the diagnosis of a degenerative disorder is made, neurodegeneration can be controlled to a degree unattainable by prior art methods or apparatus.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

These and other advantages and features of the invention will become apparent upon reading the following detailed description and referring to the accompanying drawings in which like numbers refer to like parts throughout and in which:

Figure 1 is a diagrammatic illustration of a stimulation electrode and sensing electrode implanted in a brain according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention and a signal generator coupled to the electrode:

Figure 2 is a schematic block diagram of a microprocessor and related circuitry used in the preferred embodiment of the invention; and

Figures 3-7 are flow charts illustrating a preferred form of a microprocessor program for generating stimulation pulses to be administered to the brain.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Referring to Figure 1, a system or device 10 made in accordance with the preferred embodiment may be implanted below the skin of a patient. A lead 22A is positioned to stimulate a specific site in a brain (B). Device 10 may take the form of a modified signal generator Model 7424 manufactured by Medtronic, Inc. under the trademark Itrel II which is incorporated by reference. Lead 22A may take the form of any of the leads sold with the Model 7424 for stimulating the brain. Lead 22A is coupled to device 10 by a conventional cable 22.

The distal end of lead 22A preferably terminates in four stimulation electrodes generally designated 115, although other numbers of electrodes, such as two or six, also are suitable for some applications. Lead 22A is implanted into a portion of the basal ganglia or thalamus of the brain by conventional stereotactic surgical techniques. Each of the four electrodes is individually connected to device 10 through

lead 22A and cable 22. Lead 22A is surgically implanted through a hole in the skull 123, and cable 22 is implanted between the skull and the scalp 125 as shown in Figure 1. Cable 22 is joined to implanted device 10 in the manner shown. Implanted in the brain is a sensor 130 comprising a sensing lead 26 having two sensing electrodes 28 and 30 located in the subthalamic region, substantia nigra or other brain region whose electrical activity reflects the activity of the degenerating neurons, i.e., the neurons exhibiting hyperexcitation. Alternatively, electrodes 28 and 30 could be carried by lead 22A. Electrodes 28 and 30 are connected to an analog to digital converter 206 (Figure 2) by conductors 134 and 135 which are located within cable 22. The potentials sensed by electrodes 28 and 30 indicate the electrical activity in the subthalamic nucleus and consequently the substantia nigra. Electrodes 28 and 30 transmit a signal related to the excitation of the portion of the brain exhibiting hyperexcitation.

If no sensor is used (i.e., if stimulation is by an open loop technique), device 10 may be a modified signal generator manufactured by Medtronic, Inc. under the trademark Itrel II. If sensor 130 is used, the Itrel II generator is further modified as shown in Figure 2 to provide a closed loop feedback system.

The output of sensor 130 is coupled by cable 22, comprising conductors 134 and 135, to the input of an analog to digital converter 206. Alternatively, the output of an external sensor would communicate with the implanted pulse generator through a telemetry downlink. The output of the analog to digital converter 206 is connected to a microprocessor 200 through a peripheral bus 202 including address, data and control lines. Microprocessor 200 processes the sensor data in different ways depending on the type of transducer in use. When the signal on sensor 130 exceeds a level programmed by the clinician and stored in a memory 204, increasing amounts of stimulation will be applied through an output driver 224.

The stimulus pulse frequency is controlled by programming a value to a programmable frequency generator 208 using bus 202. The programmable frequency generator provides an interrupt signal to microprocessor 200 through an interrupt line

210 when each stimulus pulse is to be generated. The frequency generator may be implemented by model CDP1878 sold by Harris Corporation.

The amplitude for each stimulus pulse is programmed to a digital to analog converter 218 using bus 202. The analog output is conveyed through a conductor 220 to an output driver circuit 224 to control stimulus amplitude.

Microprocessor 200 also programs a pulse width control module 214 using bus 202. The pulse width control provides an enabling pulse of duration equal to the pulse width via a conductor 216. Pulses with the selected characteristics are then delivered from device 10 through cable 22 and lead 22A to the basal ganglia, thalamus or other region of the brain.

Alternatively, lead 26 could be an electrochemical sensor that measures the amount of glutamate present in the nigra. Such a sensor may take the form of a transducer consisting of an electrode with an ion selective coating applied which is capable of directly transducing the amount of a particular transmitter substance or its breakdown by-products found in the interstitial space of a region of the brain, such as the subthalamic nucleus or the substantia nigra. The level of the interstitial transmitter substance is an indicator of the relative activity of the brain region. An example of this type of transducer is described in the paper "Multichannel semiconductor-based electrodes for in vivo electrochemical and electrophysiological studies in rat CNS" by Craig G. van Horne, Spencer Bement, Barry J. Hoffer, and Greg A. Gerhardt, published in *Neuroscience Letters*, 120 (1990) 249-252. Such a sensor transmits a signal related to the excitation of a portion of the brain exhibiting hyperexcitation.

Microprocessor 200 executes an algorithm shown in Figures 3-7 in order to provide stimulation with closed loop feedback control. At the time the stimulation device 10 is implanted, the clinician programs certain key parameters into the memory of the implanted device via telemetry. These parameters may be updated subsequently as needed. Step 400 in Figure 3 indicates the process of first choosing whether the neural activity at the stimulation site is to be blocked or facilitated (step 400(1)) and whether the sensor location is one for which an increase in the neural

activity at that location is equivalent to an increase in neural activity at the stimulation target or vice versa (step 400(2)). Next the clinician must program the range of values for pulse width (step 400(3)), amplitude (step 400(4)) and frequency (step 400(5)) which device 10 may use to optimize the therapy. The clinician may also choose the order in which the parameter changes are made (step 400(6)). Alternatively, the clinician may elect to use default values.

The algorithm for selecting parameters is different depending on whether the clinician has chosen to block the neural activity at the stimulation target or facilitate the neural activity. Figure 3 details steps of the algorithm to make parameter changes.

The algorithm uses the clinician programmed indication of whether the neurons at the particular location of the stimulating electrode are to be facilitated or blocked in order to reduce the neural activity in the subthalamic nucleus to decide which path of the parameter selection algorithm to follow (step 420, Figure 4). If the neuronal activity is to be blocked, device 10 first reads the feedback sensor 130 in step 421. If the sensor values indicate the activity in the glutamatergic neurons is too high (step 422), the algorithm in this embodiment first increases the frequency of stimulation in step 424 provided this increase does not exceed the preset maximum value set by the physician. Step 423 checks for this condition. If the frequency parameter is not at the maximum, the algorithm returns to step 421 through path 421A to monitor the feed back signal from sensor 130. If the frequency parameter is at the maximum, the algorithm next increases the pulse width in step 426 (Figure 5), again with the restriction that this parameter has not exceeded the maximum value as checked for in step 425 through path 423A. Not having reached maximum pulse width, the algorithm returns to step 421 to monitor the feedback signal from sensor 130. Should the maximum pulse width have been reached, the algorithm next increases amplitude in a like manner as shown in steps 427 and 428. In the event that all parameters reach the maximum, a notification message is set in step 429 to be sent by telemetry to the clinician indicating that device 10 is unable to reduce neural activity to the desired level.

If, on the other hand, the stimulation electrode is placed in a location which the clinician would like to activate in order to increase an inhibition of the subthalamic nucleus, the algorithm would follow a different sequence of events. In the preferred embodiment, the frequency parameter would be fixed at a value chosen by the clinician to facilitate neuronal activity in step 430 (Figure 6) through path 420A. In steps 431 and 432 the algorithm uses the values of the feedback sensor to determine if neuronal activity is being adequately controlled. In this case, inadequate control indicates that the neuronal activity of the stimulation target is too low. Neuronal activity is increased by first increasing stimulation amplitude (step 434) provided it doesn't exceed the programmed maximum value checked for in step 433. When maximum amplitude is reached, the algorithm increases pulse width to its maximum value in steps 435 and 436 (Figure 7). A lack of adequate reduction of neuronal activity in the subthalamic nucleus, even though maximum parameters are used, is indicated to the clinician in step 437. After steps 434, 436 and 437, the algorithm returns to step 431 through path 431A, and the feedback sensor again is read.

It is desirable to reduce parameter values to the minimum level needed to establish the appropriate level of neuronal activity in the subthalamic nucleus. Superimposed on the algorithm just described is an additional algorithm to readjust all the parameter levels downward as far as possible. In Figure 3, steps 410 through 415 constitute the method to do this. When parameters are changed, a timer is reset in step 415. If there is no need to change any stimulus parameters before the timer has counted out, then it may be possible due to changes in neuronal activity to reduce the parameter values and still maintain appropriate levels of neuronal activity in the target neurons. At the end of the programmed time interval, device 10 tries reducing a parameter in step 413 to determine if control is maintained. If it is, the various parameter values will be ratcheted down until such time as the sensor values again indicate a need to increase them. While the algorithms in Figure 3 follow the order of parameter selection indicated, other sequences may be programmed by the clinician.

The present invention may be implemented by providing pulses to lead 22A having amplitudes of 0.1 to 20 volts, pulse widths varying from 0.02 to 1.5

milliseconds, and repetition rates varying from 2 to 2500 Hz. The appropriate stimulation pulses are generated by device 10 based on the computer algorithm shown in Figures 3-7 that read the output of converter 140 and makes the appropriate analysis.

5 The type of stimulation administered by device 10 to the brain depends on the specific location at which the electrodes 115 of lead 22A are surgically implanted. The appropriate stimulation for the portion of the basal ganglia or thalamus in which lead 22A terminates, together with the effect of the stimulation on that portion of the brain for neurodegenerative disorders, is provided in the following Table I:

TABLE I

EFFECT	STIMULUS TYPE	LOCATION
INCREASE EXCITATION OF VL THALAMUS	LOW FREQ. STIMULATION	VL THALAMUS
DECREASE INHIBITION OF VL THALAMUS	HIGH FREQ. BLOCKING STIMULATION	GPi/SNr
INCREASE INHIBITION OF GPi/SNr	LOW FREQ. STIMULATION	STRIATOPALLIDAL FIBER
INCREASE INHIBITION OF GPi/SNr	LOW FREQ. STIMULATION	NEOSTRIATUM
DECREASE EXCITATION OF GPi/SNr	HIGH FREQ. BLOCKING STIMULATION	GPi/SNr
INCREASE INHIBITION OF STN	LOW FREQ. STIMULATION	GPe TO STN FIBER PATHWAY
INCREASE INHIBITION OF STN	LOW FREQ. STIMULATION	GPe
INCREASE EXCITATION OF GPe	LOW FREQ. ACTIVATING STIMULATION	GPe
DECREASE INHIBITION OF GPe	HIGH FREQ. BLOCKING STIMULATION	NEOSTRIATUM

In the foregoing Table I, VL Thalamus means ventrolateral thalamus; GPi means internal segment of globus pallidus; SNr means substantia nigra pars reticulata, STN means subthalamic nucleus; and GPe means external segment of globus pallidus. High frequency stimulation is provided by electrical pulses having a repetition rate in the range of 50 to 2500 Hz. Low frequency stimulation is provided by electrical pulses having a repetition rate in the range of 2 to 100 Hz.

Typical stereotaxic coordinates for the portions of a normal brain described in Table I are identified in the following Table II:

TABLE II

BRAIN REGION	MEDIAL-LATERAL DIMENSION	DORSAL-VENTRAL DIMENSION	ANTERIOR-POSTERIOR DIMENSION
VL Thalamus	0.7 to 1.8	1.5 to -0.2	0.0 to -1.0
GPi	0.5 to 2.0	0.5 to -0.7	0.7 to 2.0
SNr	0.5 to 1.5	-0.6 to -1.5	0.7 to -0.7
STN	0.5 to 2.0	0.0 to -1.0	0.6 to -1.0
GPe	1.6 to 2.7	1.0 to -1.0	2.0 to -1.0
Neostriatum:			
Caudate	0.5 to 2.0	1.5 to 3.0	1.5 to 3.0
Putamen	1.2 to 3.3	1.5 to -1.0	2.5 to -1.2

In the foregoing table: the medial-lateral dimensions are relative to midline of the brain; the anterior-posterior dimensions are relative to the midpoint between the anterior commissure and posterior commissure with negative indicating the posterior direction; the dorsal-ventral dimensions are relative to a line connecting the midpoints of the anterior and posterior commissures with negative being ventral to the line; all dimension are in centimeters.

Microprocessor 200 within device 10 can be programmed so that the desired stimulation can be delivered to the specific brain sites described in Table II.

Alternatively, sensor 24 can be used with a closed loop feedback system in order to

automatically determine the type of stimulation necessary to reduce the effect of neurodegenerative disorders as described in connection with Figures 3-7.

By using the foregoing techniques, the effects of neurodegenerative disorders can be controlled with a degree of accuracy previously unattainable.


5 Those skilled in the art will recognize that the preferred embodiments may be altered or amended without departing from the true spirit and scope of the invention, as defined in the accompanying claims.

I claim:

1. A system for treating a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by hyperexcitation of a portion of a brain comprising in combination:
 - an implantable signal generator;
 - an implantable electrode having a proximal end coupled to said signal generator and a stimulation portion adapted to stimulate a predetermined site in a brain;
 - a sensor for transmitting a signal related to the excitation of the portion of the brain exhibiting hyperexcitation; and
 - control means responsive to said sensor signal for regulating said stimulation, whereby the stimulation is increased in response to an increase in said excitation and is decreased in response to a decrease in said excitation.
2. A system, as claimed in claim 1, wherein said sensor comprises a sensor of electrical activity.
3. A system, as claimed in claim 1, wherein said sensor comprises an electrochemical sensor.
4. A system, as claimed in claim 3, wherein said electrochemical sensor is responsive to the amount of glutamate present in the substantia nigra.
5. A system, as claimed in claim 1, wherein
6. A method, as claimed in claim 1, wherein said step of operating said signal generator comprises the step of operating said signal generator in a high frequency range of 50 to 2500 Hz and stimulating a portion of the brain selected from the group consisting of the internal segment of globus pallidus (Gpi), substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) and the neostriatum.
6. A method, as claimed in claim 1, wherein said signal generator produces pulses in a frequency range of from about 2 to 2500 Hz.

1/7

Fig. 1



2/7

Fig. 2






Fig. 3



4/7

Fig. 4



5/7

Fig. 5




Fig. 6





Fig. 7



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US 97/05118

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 A61N1/36

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A61N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 3 918 461 A (COOPER IRVING S) 11 November 1975 see column 1, line 36 - column 3, line 8; figures ---	1
A	THE LANCET, vol. 337, 16 February 1991, pages 403-406, XP000674731 BENABID ET AL.: "Long-term suppression of tremor by chronic stimulation of the ventral intermediate thalamic nucleus" cited in the application see the whole document ---	1
A	US 5 293 879 A (VONK B F M ET AL) 15 March 1994 see column 1, line 62 - column 2, line 59; figures -----	1,2



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 August 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

22.08.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Rakotondrajaona, C

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 97/05118

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.: 5,6
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
PCT Rule 39.1(iv) Method for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 97/05118

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 3918461 A	11-11-75	NONE	
US 5293879 A	15-03-94	DE 69208791 D	11-04-96
		DE 69208791 T	26-09-96
		EP 0535508 A	07-04-93

